

Bayesian Classification

- Select, given a certain pattern \mathbf{x} , the class c_i which most likely predicts the pattern:

$$P(C = c_i | \mathbf{x}) > P(C = c_j | \mathbf{x}) \quad \forall j \neq i$$

- **Bayes Theorem (BT):**

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(B | A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

- Provides a method to calculate the probability of a random event A, while a priori knowing the occurrence of an event B

Bayesian Classification

- In classification:

$$P(c_j | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{x} | c_j)P(c_j)}{P(\mathbf{x})}$$

- Since we want to know the class c_j that maximizes $P(c_j | \mathbf{x})$, we need to find the class c_j that maximizes
- $P(\mathbf{x} | c_j) P(c_j)$

Example

- Instances described by one attribute
- Two classes:
 - the patient has hepatitis;
 - the patient has not hepatitis.
- Attribute: result of a exam to the liver
- Two values: + (hepatitis) and - (no hepatitis).
- From the lab results we know:

$$P(\text{hepatitis}) = 0.008; \quad P(\text{no hepatitis}) = 0.992$$

$$P(+ \mid \text{hepatitis}) = 0.98; \quad P(- \mid \text{hepatitis}) = 0.02$$

$$P(+ \mid \text{no hepatitis}) = 0.03; \quad P(- \mid \text{no hepatitis}) = 0.97$$

Example

- We observe that, according to a lab exam, a new patient's result is + and we want to classify it (has he hepatitis or not?).
- Since for BT (leaving out the denominator) we have:
 - $P(+ | \text{hepatitis}) * P(\text{hepatitis}) = 0.078$
 - $P(+ | \text{no hepatitis}) * P(\text{no hepatitis}) = 0.298$
- we conclude that it is more likely that the patient has not the hepatitis.

Bayesian classification

- In case where the instance \mathbf{x} is described by more than one attributes, we find the class C_j such that

$$P(c_j | X_1=x_1, X_2=x_2, \dots, X_n=x_n)$$

is maximum, i.e, we want to make a **belief revision**

For brevity we write

$$P(c_i | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- By applying BT, this is equal to find the class that maximizes

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | c_i) \times P(c_i)$$

Bayesian Classification

- In the case of n attributes, the problem is to estimate $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | c_i)$ from the available data
- Direct approach: $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | c_i)$ is given by the number of instances of the class c_i equal to x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n that appear in the data divided by the number of instances of the class c_i .
- Problems:
 - In order to compute $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | c_i)$, the instance x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n should appear more times in the data => we need a lot of data
 - we cannot classify instances not seen or not present in the training data => **no generalization!**

Bayesian Classification

- To overcome these problems we have to use the simplifying assumptions that the observed attributes are independent (**Naive Bayes assumptions**) given the class.
- If a and b are independent given the class, the likelihood that a and b occur simultaneously given c $P(a, b | c)$ is
$$P(a | c) \times P(b | a, c) = P(a | c) \times P(b | c)$$
- Naive Bayes Method: using the Bayes theorem making the assumption of independence of the attributes

Classification Naive Bayes

- In our case

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | c_i) = P(x_1 | c_i) \times P(x_2 | c_i) \times \dots \times P(x_n | c_i)$$

- So with the Naive Bayes method the highest probability is assigned to the class c obtained by the following formula

$$c = \underset{c_j}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c_j) \prod_{k=1}^n P(x_k | c_j)$$

Classification naive Bayes

- The parameters are calculated by the relative frequency
- $P(c_i)$ = proportion of examples of the training set that belong to c_i
- $P(x_k | c_i)$ = number of examples in the training set belonging to class c_i and that have the k -th attribute equal to x_k divided by the number of examples in the training set that belong to the class c_i

Classification naive Bayes

- The learning phase is to build a table of this form

	C_1	C_2	C_n
-		$P(C_2)$		
$X_1 = x_{1,1}$				
....				
$X_1 = x_{1,k1}$		$P(X_1 = x_{1,k1} C = C_2)$		
....				
$X_n = x_{n,1}$				
....				
$X_n = x_{n,kn}$				

Example

No	Outlook	Temp	Humid	Windy	Class
D1	sunny	mild	normal	T	P
D2	sunny	hot	high	T	N
D3	sunny	hot	high	F	N
D4	sunny	mild	high	F	N
D5	sunny	cool	normal	F	P
D6	overcast	mild	high	T	P
D7	overcast	hot	high	F	P
D8	overcast	cool	normal	T	P
D9	overcast	hot	normal	F	P
D10	rain	mild	high	T	N
D11	rain	cool	normal	T	N
D12	rain	mild	normal	F	P
D13	rain	cool	normal	F	P
D14	rain	mild	high	F	P

• Given a day with the following characteristics:

G = < Outlook=sunny, Temp =cool, Humid =high, Windy=T >

we want to know whether or not to play tennis.

Example

- We do not calculate the entire matrix, we calculate only the probabilities that we need

Example (cont.)

$$P(\text{Class}=\text{P}) = 9/14 = 0.64$$

$$P(\text{Class}=\text{N}) = 5/14 = 0.36$$

$$P(\text{Outlook}=\text{sunny} \mid \text{Class}=\text{P}) = 2/9 = 0.222$$

$$P(\text{Outlook}=\text{sunny} \mid \text{Class}=\text{N}) = 3/5 = 0.6$$

$$P(\text{Temp}=\text{cool} \mid \text{Class}=\text{P}) = 3/9 = 0.333$$

$$P(\text{Temp}=\text{cool} \mid \text{Class}=\text{N}) = 1/5 = 0.2$$

$$P(\text{Humid}=\text{high} \mid \text{Class}=\text{P}) = 3/9 = 0.333$$

$$P(\text{Humid}=\text{high} \mid \text{Class}=\text{N}) = 4/5 = 0.8$$

$$P(\text{Windy T}=\text{ } \mid \text{Class}=\text{P}) = 3/9 = 0.33$$

$$P(\text{Windy T}=\text{ } \mid \text{Class}=\text{N}) = 3/5 = 0.6$$

$$\mathbf{P(\text{Class}=\text{P}) * P(\text{Outlook}=\text{sunny} \mid \text{P}) * P(\text{Temp}=\text{cool} \mid \text{P}) * P(\text{Humid}=\text{high} \mid \text{P}) * P(\text{Windy T}=\text{ } \mid \text{P}) = \mathbf{0.0052}}$$

$$\mathbf{P(\text{Class}=\text{N}) * P(\text{Outlook}=\text{sunny} \mid \text{N}) * P(\text{temp}=\text{cool} \mid \text{N}) * P(\text{Humid}=\text{high} \mid \text{N}) * P(\text{Windy T}=\text{ } \mid \text{N}) = \mathbf{0.0207}}$$

Example (cont.)

- The more 'probable class' is N
- We calculate the probability of classes:

$$P(\mathbf{Class=P} | G) = 0.0053 / (0.0053 + 0.0206) = \\ = 0.205$$

$$P(\mathbf{Class=N} | G) = 0.0206 / (0.0053 + 0.0206) = \\ = 1 - 0.205 = 0.795$$